

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_100950
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosis of anti-citrullinated protein antibody negative rheumatoid arthritis depending on pathology associated with clinical phenotypes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is. Disease is important in public health.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>- It should be reflected as objective or indicated in the title, so it could be like: "The objective of this paper is the diagnosis of anti-citrullinated protein antibody negative rheumatoid arthritis depending on pathology associated with clinical phenotypes."</p> <p>- It should be reflected as a conclusion: "No statistically significant differences were found in synovial cell infiltrates according to the serology".</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>-Yes, it is, but you must homogenize the form the style of citation. In the text of the Discussion, he uses Vancouver mixed with Harvard. If you choose to use the latter, the term "et al" is written in italics (<i>et al</i>).</p> <p>- In referential citations, when there are more than six authors after the number six it is written <i>et al</i> (example references 20)</p> <p>The references are sufficient but I suggest, if possible, that the list of References be adjusted so that 50% of them are from the last five years.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, it is	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	It's a job well done and interesting.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jesús G. Rodríguez Diego</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, México</b>