

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_100258
Title of the Manuscript:	Protein Expression in Synechococcus PCC 7002: a quantitative comparison of promoters and integration sites
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Protein Expression in <i>Synechococcus</i> PCC 7002: a quantitative comparison of promoters and integration sites (Ms_JABB_100258) Following are the suggestions to the author(s) need to be incorporated :</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Somewhere name of the author and number both are given in the text instead of reference number only.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> From which place <i>Synechococcus sp.</i> originally collected. It is to be mentioned.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> In Table 1 & 2 Kachel & Mack, 2020 reference is given but not in the form of reference number. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> In Table 1 & 2 Kachel & Mack, 2020 reference is given in the Tables but missing in the Reference list. It is to be incorporated.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> In Table 1 & 2 everywhere in the source column written This work. Instead of This work the word This Study may be used.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Last Photo of Fig. 4 is not very clear. It is to be replaced by some good quality photomicrograph.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The discussion part is given in the conclusion. It is to be corrected.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Reference number 12 is given in the conclusion. It should be in Discussion.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion should be written in the proper way giving reasonable details of findings only.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Future Perspectives and application of the present study should be incorporated.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> References should be given in the uniform format as per the format of the Journal.</p> <p>Manuscript required revision as suggested.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pratibha Gupta
Department, University & Country	Central Botanical Laboratory, India