

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100431
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil organic carbon principle '4p1000' is achievable under tropical conditions through LBNF practices
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Maybe. This manuscript is required to update fully then maybe useful for the scientist, students who will work in this area of research.</p> <p>No. Some changes are required.</p> <p>No, but some more precise and justified sentences required in abstract.</p> <p>Yes, but need to be update again.</p> <p>Yes, about 50%.</p> <p>References are not sufficient but recent references are to be include in the list. References should be written as per journal standard.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Yes, but some more updates/modifications are required..	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Study area map should be including in manuscript.</li> <li>2. Actual ground photographs must be added in paper.</li> <li>3. Table 2 must be proper, not image.</li> <li>4. Figure 3 should be revise again.</li> <li>5. Conclusion should be revise and rewrite again.</li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abhijit M. Zende</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr. Daulatrao Aher College of Engineering, India</b>