

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99849
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Herbicides on Weed Control & Growth Parameter on Wheat
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript important for scientific</p> <p>Title of the article suitable</p> <p>Abstract is need revised as author guideline</p> <p>Subsections and structure, need revised as author guideline</p> <p>Scientifically correct</p> <p>Some references over the last 10 years</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Must follow: MANUSCRIPT GUIDELINES</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - briefly describe the purpose of the work, techniques and methods used <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The text, can be divided into numbered sections - In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets - Citations should be given in support of the findings <p>Experimental design and layout → Material and methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - divided into numbered sections with brief headings - Citations should be given in support of the findings - In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets - use the latest reference [min last 10 years] <p>Statcal analysis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What the test its used for the significance of the difference between treatments <p>Results & Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - divided into numbered sections with brief headings - reference number in brackets - use the latest reference [min last 10 years] - Citations should be given in support of the findings <p>Reference</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All references should follow the style as recommended for author guideline for instance: Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98 - use the latest reference [min last 10 years] 	

Comment [W11]: See Author Guideline
 1. **Material and methods**
 2. what data analysis to use?
 3. divided into numbered sections with brief headings

Review Form 1.7

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- References must be listed as numbered in the order that they appear in the text- Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa- DOI number(if available) or database's unique identifier Use latest reference [not more than 10 years]	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erny Ishartati
Department, University & Country	University of Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia