

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101712
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, yield and economics of irrigated green gram (Vigna radiata .L) as influenced by inorganic fertilizer and various organic inputs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> It is very important to the scientific world as well as farming communities because of cost of fertilizers.</p> <p><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> The abstract of the article has been made to be comprehensive but author (s) need to adhere to the changes effected and include figures.</p> <p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> The manuscript is scientifically correct because of its nature and references cited and listed.</p> <p>The tables need to be improved and include probability and mean values. What type of data was collected and how was it analyzed?</p> <p>Write a paragraph on how the irrigation was conducted. The type and scheduling!!!!!!!</p> <p>Are the figures in the two tables significant or not????</p> <p>It would be fine to use references within ten years backward except for the originals.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Language is fine	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	This is an important manuscript but the author(s) need to include references cited but not listed as well as cite in the manuscript those that are listed.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Felix Mereka Chipojola</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Malawi</b>