

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101360
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of site specific nitrogen management on growth parameters, productivity and profitability of kharif rice
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

MAJOR REVISION TO BE DONE	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Accept manuscript after MAJOR REVISION</p> <p>yes</p> <p>suitable</p> <p>comprehensive but details on the soil should be removed and sent to the materials and methods section. Also avoid writing the title of the manuscript on the abstract section, . please summarize the methodology</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References insufficient due to lack of sufficient citations. No citation is found in the introduction section.</p> <p>There is a lot to be done at the level of the tables. Maybe the author(s) should include letters in all tables to should mean separation; significant differences between treatments, also, vertical lines and some horizontal lines on the tables should be removed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>A short conclusion should be added to the manuscript</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asafor Henry Chotangui
Department, University & Country	University of Dschang, Cameroon