

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100378
Title of the Manuscript:	Land Use Planning and Management in Jamrani Dam Command
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>In my opinion, the manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>The title was fine and suitable.</p> <p>The abstract was comprehensive. Please add relevant and specific keywords, five in number.</p> <p>In my opinion, the structure of the manuscript was appropriate and well organize.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript was scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references were not sufficient to support relate the research. Please cite additional relevant work. Try to increase the references to 15.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The English quality of the manuscript was suitable for scholarly communications.</p> <p>The author is advised to go through the paper and do a quick check on probable grammatical errors and existing spelling mistakes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I recommend to accept, but need to revise (minor revision) the manuscript before its publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dedy Kristanto
Department, University & Country	Universitas Pembangunan Nasional "Veteran" Yogyakarta, Indonesia