

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_99938
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Risk Factors of Trichomonas vaginalis Infection among Female Students of Prince Abubakar Audu University, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journali-srr.com/index.php/I-SRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This is a common sexually transmitted disease which is amenable to treatment. It reflects on the prevalence in a selected population in Africa.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes- the conclusion should be shortened.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The study is based on convenient sampling -so there is selection bias which should be stated under limitations of the study. The sample of 400 consenting women shows selection bias. It would be good to show how sample size was calculated .</p> <p>Yes, Reference 4 -follow uniform format</p> <p>The METHOD should be expanded to indicate inclusion and exclusion criteria explicitly. The use of contraception, should be stated. The sample size calculation should be stated . The independent variables in the study should be itemized in the Methods.</p> <p>As for the questionnaire used as the tool, indicate if validation was done. Also state the time duration to complete the questionnaire and language used . Indicate if all questionnaires were completely filled.</p> <p>Specimen collection : The subjects probably assumed the dorsal position (not lithotomy). How was the collection error addressed. Indicate the method of transfer of the collected specimen and if this affected the quality of specimens. Strict laboratory techniques should be followed in identifying the pathogen. Was any quality assurance done e.g. training of technicians.</p> <p>Statistics: Indicate the type of statistical tests employed and significance level set.</p> <p>Results" Tables and description are satisfactory.</p> <p>Discussion: Relevant. Include Limitations of the study.</p> <p>References; Check Ref No. 4</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The paper may be accepted if the corrections are made as advised.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sivalingam Nalliah
Department, University & Country	International Medical University, Malaysia