

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International STD Research &amp; Reviews</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_I-SRR_99938</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Prevalence and Risk Factors of Trichomonas vaginalis Infection among Female Students of Prince Abubakar Audu University, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journali-srr.com/index.php/I-SRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it sheds light on the prevalence of Trichomonas vaginalis infection and the associated risk factors among female undergraduate students in Nigeria. The findings of this study can help inform public health interventions to reduce the incidence of this infection in Nigeria and other regions where the risk factors are prevalent.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable as it accurately reflects the content of the study.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive as it provides a concise summary of the study's objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, as they are organized in a logical and easy-to-follow manner.</p> <p>5. Based on the information presented in the manuscript, the study appears to be scientifically correct. However, it is important to note that the study is limited by the diagnostic method used (discharge examination using light microscopy), which may not detect minor infections.</p> <p>6. The references provided in the manuscript are sufficient and recent, as they include studies published in the past five years. However, it may be beneficial to include additional references on the prevalence and risk factors associated with Trichomonas vaginalis infection in Nigeria and other regions with similar risk factors.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Overall, the language is clear and understandable, and the authors effectively convey their research findings. However, there are some minor errors in grammar, syntax, and punctuation that could be improved.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hacène Frih</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Badji Mokhtar University, Algeria</b>