

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_100682
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimental characterization of influential parameters of cow dung substrates in biogas production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>-The abstract should be containing the results that the authors reach out and amend some mistakes in it.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Keywords of the manuscript should be in capital letters.</li> <li>- Introduction needs more references about the biotechnology of cow dung.</li> <li>- the figures and its caption be in middle of the place.</li> <li>- The conclusion needs more about the results of the manuscript.</li> <li>- The manuscript needs to revise in the English language of the whole manuscript once again.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The abstract should be containing the results that the authors reach out and amend some mistakes in it.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Keywords of the manuscript should be in capital letters.</li> <li>- Introduction needs more references about the biotechnology of cow dung.</li> <li>- the figures and its caption be in middle of the place.</li> <li>- The conclusion needs more about the results of the manuscript.</li> <li>- The manuscript needs to revise in the English language of the whole manuscript once again.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rasheed N. Abed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al-Nahrain University, Iraq</b>