

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_101262
Title of the Manuscript:	HOUSE PRICE PREDICTION USING MACHINE LEARNING
Type of the Article	Data Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important to the scientific community; however, the manuscript needs to revise.</p> <p>2. Yes, suitable</p> <p>3. Need to revise</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Look old, need to update/ need to add recent references.</p> <p>Generally, the manuscript needs to revise and the author should address the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abstract – looks too long, remove the first three sentences, mention the problem statement directly then go to your methodology/solution, and finally your result - Introduction - missing citations/references! do not mention your work first! (move it to end of the introduction), add a final paragraph to describe the following sections - Explain Figure 1 - You have repeated sentences! - LR (looks weak!) - Re-write it/ arrange it - Cite the works correctly - Do not use (' we ') - Methodology: missing methodology, write steps/ draw chart / explain your methodology clearly - And finally: for implementation and results: no need to all figures you can just draw charts or tables include your results with the analysis and compare them with previous work 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Clear	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1- Missing citation within the body of the manuscript</p> <p>2- Missing explanation/ mentioned of figures within the body of the manuscript</p> <p>3- Missing equations</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Inass Shahadha Hussein
Department, University & Country	Middle Technical University, Technical Institute of Baquba, Iraq