

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_101671
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Results of Separate Vertical Wirings for the Treatment of Extra-Articular Fractures of the Distal Pole of the Patella.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Very important, as a medium of communication in improving the quality of a research paper.</p> <p>2. Effectiveness of using separate vertical cables b to treat fractures outside the joint at the tip of the patella.</p> <p>3. Effectiveness of using separate vertical cables b to treat fractures outside the joint at the tip of the patella</p> <p>4. Some subsections need improvement</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> Researchers in addition to presenting research problems and prevalence, researchers should also state the efforts that have been made and the efforts offered to overcome the problem. Likewise research urgency.</p> <p><b>Methodology</b> In this section, the researcher should not only describe the type and research design, but also the population and sample, how big it is, how to determine the sample size and the sampling technique. Also state the data used in the research and its instruments, when the measurements were taken. In data analysis, mention the static test</p> <p><b>Result</b> In this section the researcher should state what the conclusions of the analysis results are for each variable</p> <p><b>Discussion</b> State what the conclusions of the analysis of variable data are, describe the researcher's ideas accompanied by citations. In this section should not appear quantitative data</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> The researcher should state what the findings relate to the research objectives</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The researcher must state all conclusions from the analysis (table analysis). Researchers should not get bogged down with numbers in tables. Improve sections of each chapter according to the reviewer's suggestions in the article</p> <p>Need to improve sentence structure (past form) especially in the abstract chapter and results</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The improvement according to the reviewer's guidelines and suggestions for each section</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hendrik
Department, University & Country	Indonesia