

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_100933
Title of the Manuscript:	TOXICITY ASSESSMENT OF PRODUCED WATER USING Nitrosomonas Sp.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. No. There are several grammatical errors and make the abstract cannot be comprehended.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Not at all. Please re-check it.</p> <p>6. Yes.</p> <p>7. What kind of instrument applied to measure the electrical conductivity?</p> <p>8. The manuscript is lack of important figures such as the electrical conductivity results, the DNA quantification after analysed by spectrophotometer?</p> <p>9. What kind of parameter applied during spectrophotometer analysis? Wavelength? Absorbance?</p> <p>10. Where is the figure after PCR analysis performed?</p> <p>11. There are no connection between the methods and the results displayed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There several grammatical errors:</p> <p>1. Check Page 1, Abstract. Please restructure the abstract.</p> <p>2. Part 2. Material and methods. The meaning of A 10 -liter sampling?</p> <p>3. Part 2. Material and methods. One litre glass bottle was used</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Abdurrahman Munir
Department, University & Country	Alma Ata University, Indonesia