

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_100699
Title of the Manuscript:	Virtual and augmented reality in Oral & Maxillofacial surgery: current trends and future- A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The article is important for its innovative character in novel techniques related to the possibilities of computational technology. There is no doubt that great objective and subjective challenges must be overcome for its application and generalization.</p> <p>The title is correct.</p> <p>It is explanatory and introduces the reader to the subject matter without technical complications.</p> <p>In correspondence with its character as an article, its structure is appropriate.</p> <p>It fulfils the informative objective of very promising new techniques in medicine and especially surgery.</p> <p>The bibliography used is quite recent, although the author must pay attention to the handling of citations in the text (see the comments of the revised document).</p> <p>The work is informative in its essence and as such the objectives are obtained. It would be interesting to disclose concrete facts of surgeries performed with these methods.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>In our opinion, the English language is well used.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In our opinion, the article is appropriate for publication, except for the small details that are mentioned in the revised text.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Remedios Hernández
Department, University & Country	Cuba