

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_100099
Title of the Manuscript:	SACCULAR ASCENDING AORTA ANEURYSMS: RARE CASE REPORTS AND LITTERATURE REVIEW.
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. Yes, it is important 2. NO, it should state: SACCULAR ASCENDING AORTA ANEURYSM: A RARE CLINICAL OCCURRENCE. 3. Abstract is okay 4. The subsections and structure are not so detailed and need a little beefing 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. The references are not adequate and only 35% of the references are recent (less than 10 years) while 65% are old	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Check for grammar, typo, spelling, and punctuation errors highlighted in the manuscript	
Optional/General comments	The study is important in the scientific medical arena	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emmanuel Adeyemi Odumeru
Department, University & Country	University of Rwanda, Rwanda