

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_101495
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on agriculture information seeking behavior of farmer's through mobile of West Champaran District, Bihar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. "A Study on agriculture information seeking behavior of farmer's through mobile of West Champaran District, Bihar" is the need of hour. The researcher has collected the response from 120 respondents through mobiles. It is concluded that the following variables like age, cast, land holding, annual family income, family type, family size, social participation, mass media exposure and extension contact are significant relationship associated at 1% level.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of article is comprehensive</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references given by the researcher are sufficient, but not shown under the separate heading.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The researcher has to present the "Review of Literature" under the separate heading. The Researcher has to write the "Source" for Table 1 The Researcher has to write the "Source" for Table 2 The Researcher has to write the "Source" for Table 3 The Researcher has to write the "Source" for Fig.1 The Researcher has to write the "Source" for Fig.2 The Researcher has to write the "Source" for Fig.3 The Researcher has to write the "Source" for Table 4</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nagamani K N
Department, University & Country	Government First Grade College, India