

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_94725
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of spacing and fertilizer levels on growth, yield parameters and yield of chia (Salvia hispanica. L)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The abstract contains complete information about the research conducted and the results obtained. The introduction introduces the problem of poverty and malnutrition in India. It represents the chia crop very well and the important nutritional values it carries. At the end of the introduction, every research paper should include the purpose of the study. In the abstract, the purpose is presented clearly. Please have the author(s) include the purpose of the study at the end of the introduction. The materials and methods section presents the conducted field experiment in detail. The problem is that data from one growing season cannot be taken as reliable! Please insert Table 1 into the text. Also, this section should give information about the statistical programs used. In the results and discussion section, the data are presented objectively. There are enough comparisons with previous research on the topic. The partitions <i>Effect of spacing and fertilizer levels on growth parameters of chia</i> and <i>Effect of spacing and fertilizer levels on yield and yield parameters of chia</i> differ stylistically from <i>Yield and harvest index</i> and <i>Optimization of inputs</i> . The first two partitions do not indicate values of the investigated parameters or percentage inflation, while the second two sections present the results better. For the higher quality of the article, I recommend the author(s) to include numerical values or percentage increases of the parameters described in <i>Effect of spacing and fertilizer levels on growth parameters of chia</i> and <i>Effect of spacing and fertilizer levels on yield and yield parameters of chia</i> . The conclusions correspond with the obtained results will be beneficial to the farmers and the promotion of chia culture in India.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stefka Stefanova-Dobrev
Department, University & Country	Field Crops Institute, Bulgaria