

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_88836
Title of the Manuscript:	AWARENESS OF BENEFICIARIES ON THE SCHOOL-ON-AIR (SOA) PROGRAM OF THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE ON HALAL GOAT PRODUCTION IN MAGUINDANAO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The text has many writing errors. In addition, the citations in the boxes in the text must be corrected. There are probably analysis flaws in the results that should be reviewed. As it is, the manuscript cannot be published.</p> <p>Authors must proofread their writing in English. Apparently there are writing errors, such as the literal "A" of Results and discussion where it says "Evident" and the meaning of the sentence indicates that it should say "Evidence".</p> <p>There is an error in the analysis of the standard deviation of table 1. This is greater than the mean, which means a high dispersion of the data. This is contrary to what the authors describe when stating that with this deviation there is no dispersion. This is repeated in tables 4, 5, 6, 7 (in this one the deviation is very high compared to the mean), 8, 9, 10 and 11.</p> <p>I did not find citations in the text of tables 4 and 5. In turn, I believe that table 6 is not correctly cited in the text of the manuscript (in the text after table 5).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramiro Díaz
Department, University & Country	Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Ecuador