

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_88836
Title of the Manuscript:	AWARENESS OF BENEFICIARIES ON THE SCHOOL-ON-AIR (SOA) PROGRAM OF THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE ON HALAL GOAT PRODUCTION IN MAGUINDANAO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is suggested, if there is such information, that the authors insert the age range of the interviewees in the research and some more details about their characteristics. For example: how long have they been working as farmers; if your goat farms have many individuals.... Information in this sense helps readers understand more about the profile of the interviewees (main characters) in the study. In the 'conclusion' topic, it is recommended that authors do not repeat the results presented in the topic with the same name.	
Minor REVISION comments	The study covers an important topic for a specific population in a place in the world and therefore receives the importance that its data and results achieved deserve. Not only for the local population, but also important to spread this knowledge of the subject studied to readers around the world through the publication of studies like this.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alan Kelbis Oliveira Lima
Department, University & Country	Institute of Biology, University of Brasília, Brazil