

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_100658
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOTCHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND ANTI-MOTILITY EFFECTS OF NAPOLEONAE IMPERIALIS METHANOL CRUDE LEAF EXTRACT AND FRACTIONS IN RATS
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>results are not matching with experimental analysis, so scientifically this manuscript is very poor.</p> <p>In title, authors have mentioned the analysis of phytochemicals, but analysis was not performed in methodology and only results are reported. So, title is not suitable. Change the title or add phytochemical analysis methodology in experiment.</p> <p>Abstract is as per journal format and clearly summarised the results of articles.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes but English is very poor, so need to improve by native speaker.</p> <p>Need to add recent references with literature of year 2022, 2023 in introduction and discussion.</p>	
<p>REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Major English is very poor,</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Rewrite methodology by following this article 'Detection of Bioflavonoids from Methanol Bark Extracts of Acacia and their Role as Antidiarrhoeal Agents', add groups in table form, in What were the fraction (F1 -F6), please mention in table form.</p> <p>Statistical analysis 'Values are displayed as MEAN±SD at 89 % confidence level', why results were analyzed at 89%, why not at 95% since results analysis at 95% are only considerable for any activity.</p> <p>Table 1: Qualitative phytochemicals, add test name that performed for detection of compositions.</p> <p>Table 2: Quantitative phytochemical screening, what methodology was used for quantitative analysis of phytochemical, must add into methodology?</p> <p>What symptoms were considered for acute toxicity analysis, please mention in table form with time frame?</p> <p>Conclusion is not mentioned at the end of manuscript, add the conclusion part in the manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahendra Singh
Department, University & Country	Yeungnam University, South Korea