

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_100728
Title of the Manuscript:	APPLICATION OF RESPONSE SURFACE METHODOLOGY (RSM) TO CUCUMBER YIELD UNDER DIFFERENT TILLAGE METHODS.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '[lack of Novelty](#)', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b></p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, The manuscript result are statistically proved. Suitable</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Statistically correct</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION comments</b></p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General comments</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>☐ Make a comparison table showing how your result better than earlier workers mentioned in reference.</li> <li>☐ Give full form of NPK at initial place because it is uses many places in manuscript.</li> <li>☐ What is the difference between Bulk Density and Particle Density while formula in equation 2.1 &amp; 2.2 are same, showing some mistake in manuscript.</li> <li>☐ In table 1 , column 4 has showing no data.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sanjay Jain</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>S P C Government College, India</b>