

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Journal of Economics, Management and Trade   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JEMT_100620   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Mediating effect of inflation on the relationship between earnings and share prices of listed firms in Nigeria |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. The presented research - Mediating effect of inflation on the relationship between earnings and share prices of listed firms in Nigeria - is interesting for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article presents all the necessary information.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are relevant.</p> <p>5. The conclusions presented in the paper correspond to the research results.</p> <p>6. The reviewed scientific literature corresponds to the research topic.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  | <p>1. The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  |  |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                       |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Giuli Giguashvili</b>              |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Gori State University, Georgia</b> |