

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_100620</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mediating effect of inflation on the relationship between earnings and share prices of listed firms in Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Emperical</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript contains importance in terms of scientific community, as the paper is dealing with EPS, share price of stocks and impact of Inflation on the former two aspects, this will definitely benefit the people who are interested in stocks and stock market of Nigeria</p> <p>Title is suitable for the research work</p> <p>Abstract is fine</p> <p>Sub sections and structure seems to be ok, but some more information should be included in Review of literature and also model(Schematic representation) should have been shown in the research work</p> <p>Review of literature needs lot of additions. The References are ok, but it hardly contains the reviews of last 3 years, so it would be better if more reviews are done also in the last three years.</p> <p>Structural Equation Model usage is good, but the model should be displayed in the research work, so that we can understand the strength of impacting variable on the response variables.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Language seems to be ok</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Some major revision is required in terms of Review of literature, recent Reviews, model representation</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>E.M. Naresh Babu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ABBS School of Management, India</b>