

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_100753
Title of the Manuscript:	Recent Advances in Crop Improvement of Chilli (<i>Capsicum annum L.</i>) for Fruit Yield and Quality
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is important to have informed about the crop improvement techniques. The current review has discussed some recent achievements of the various research groups in the development of chilli hybrids with high yield and quality.</p> <p>Title is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Line number was not provided. So, it becomes difficult to highlight the particular word or sentence. 2nd para of introduction; 2nd para of 7th page: Correct the spelling of "programmes". Section 2, 4th para: Correlation coefficient R2 values can be added if available in the article (ref 14) Section 2, 4th para, 3rd last line: Delete 'the' before 'most' 5th page, 1st para, 5th last line: Sentence does not start with a number. Page 7, Modify the 7th sentence from last. Add 'not' before 'just'. Page 8, 1st line: Delete 'also' Page 8: Correct "Sodium azide, Hydroxylamine mutagens" Page 10, 1st para: Delete 'was found to' before 'linked'</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chirasmitha Panigrahi
Department, University & Country	IIT Madras, India