

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_100590
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, yield and biochemical qualities of spinach (<i>Spinacia oleracea</i>) as influenced by the foliar application of chitosan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>1. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>2. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>3. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>4. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is doubtedly that spinach treatment with chitosan is important, I mean, if it has any positive effect, but again – if the authors have so convincing data.</p> <p>No, I think “Growth, yield and biochemical qualities of spinach (<i>Spinacia oleracea</i>) being influenced by the foliar application of chitosan”.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is fine.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It is more or less correct, but the names of the equipment used should be exact.</p> <p>Yes, the references are good.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I am not sure that chitosan could induce such a positive effect but if the authors have sufficient data on that so here we go!</p> <p>I definitely agree with the authors about the units of measurement that are correctly shown as mg kg⁻¹ or g plot⁻¹.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yuliya Preobrazhenskaya
Department, University & Country	Yanka Kupala State University of Grodno, Belarus