

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMPS_101158</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Health Economics - An essential armamentarium to the present day clinician.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Policy Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This paper is a basic introductory review to Health Economics. I also appreciate the fact that it is written in plain language and easy to understand for the clinicians. Though not that much relevant to the scientific community, it is very important for the clinicians to read such briefs on health Economics.</p> <p>I think the Title is Ok. The abstract seems very brief and not comprehensive.</p> <p>I think so. But it also depends on the formats of your journal.</p> <p>I think so. The authors used already available literatures and changes in government policies and analysed its effects. Though some of the issues they raised require in-depth study, for such review, it is ok.</p> <p>I recommend the authors to use latest references as they are talking about even the effect of COVID-19 which a very recent phenomenon. E.g. Reference #4 has the lasts 4<sup>th</sup> edition to my knowledge (2015)</p> <p>Included in the body of the paper</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	Yes.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Addressed in the body of the papare.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fekadu Assefa Jiru</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jimma University, Ethiopia</b>