

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_100718
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF POTASSIUM APPLICATION ON YIELD, PROTEIN AND OIL CONTENT OF SELECTED SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN TRANS NZOIA COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- This manuscript is good for scientific community 2- The title is suitable 3- Abstract should be rewritten short brief 4- Subsections and structure are depended on publisher 5- It is need some small change about data statistic analysis 6- References are enough</p> <p>-This experiment is two factors but abstract, material and method, table, result and discussion always mention follow 1 factor. It is without mention interaction between variety and fertilizer. - Abstract should be rewrite short brief information. It is no need mention experiment design and data collection. - Material and Method should be explained Trial I and Trial II how to do. - Tables have to mention interaction between two factors.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nguyen Quang Thinh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Post-Harvest Technology, Field Crops Research Institute, Vietnam</b>