

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJTDH_99891</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The unpreparedness of a health district in the face of an epidemic, the case of Covi19 and the impact on neurosurgery activities</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, it could serve as a baseline for other studies in the future.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, the content of the write up is a reflection of the study.</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes, but the author needs to remove in text references within the abstract and the paragraphs removed to enable the flow of thoughts for prospective readers.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, if all bullet points are removed and the content properly arranged.</b></p> <p>6. <b>Results should be compared with other relevant studies.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Consider accepting modifications highlighted in green.</p> <p>2. Consider deleting words and sentences highlighted in red.</p> <p>3. Consider revising sentences highlighted grey.</p> <p>4. Delete all bullet points.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Salama K. Ibrahim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bayero University, Nigeria</b>