

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_99891
Title of the Manuscript:	The unpreparedness of a health district in the face of an epidemic, the case of Covi19 and the impact on neurosurgery activities
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- It is about a hospital situation in AFRICA IN 1998 WHEN THE FIRST SUSPECT PATIENTS IN Covid were detected. The authors review the hospital preparation to cure the patients and also discuss the facilities and fund of the hospital.</p> <p>2-Yes. It is.</p> <p>3-Yes, it is, but it is long (365 words) with some reference numbers which is not allowed. Further more It needs some revising (The results! The method, etc.)</p> <p>4-No, there are some unsuitable titles or subtitles which need corrections.</p> <p>5-Yes, it is, but no important manuscript.</p> <p>6-Thy are sufficient and recent, but some of the needs revising (10, etc)</p> <p>7- Objective should be a part of introduction.</p> <p>8- There are some typographical errors in the manuscript.</p> <p>9-Either table 1 OR Figure.</p> <p>10-In Figure 2, month should be in English writing.</p> <p>11-The manuscript has no result.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masoud Roudbari
Department, University & Country	Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran