

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_99891
Title of the Manuscript:	The unpreparedness of a health district in the face of an epidemic, the case of Covi19 and the impact on neurosurgery activities
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>I suggest changing to: "Behaviour of COVID-19 in an unprepared hospital and its impact on hospital activities, particularly neurosurgery".</p> <p>The situation of having to face a pandemic, such as COVID-19, in a centre without preparation for it, is narrated. Impact on neurosurgery is underscored.</p> <p>I consider the first paragraph unnecessary. I prefer the summary to be a single paragraph.</p> <p>Yes, it is. But I suggest that, for better understanding, the different parts of the manuscript: Materials and Methods, Results, etc. should be well differentiated.</p> <p>Yes, although it should be noted that the title of the figures are written under this.</p> <p>-The references are sufficient and recent In references where there are more than six authors, <i>et al</i> is included, starting with the sixth author. - International standards of bibliographic settlement should be revised, especially for non-classical journal citations. On the other hand, whenever the references are from an <u>http</u>, the date of the search must be indicated (day / month / year)</p> <p>- I believe that at the end of the Introduction, a general objective is sufficient. Example: " The general objective of our work is to describe the management by a district hospital of the occurrence of the covid 19 epidemic without any preparation and to describe its impact on hospital activities, in particular neurosurgery "</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	I suggest revising the document to give it a more epidemiological style.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jesús G. Rodríguez Diego</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, México</b>