

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101425
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Foliar application of Seaweed extract and humic acid on growth, yield and quality of strawberry (<i>Fragaria x ananasa duch</i>) cv. winter dawn
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The work is noble. The use of synthetic fertiliser could be supplemented. The title is Ok The abstract is fragmented...ought to be continuous flow with various segments. The methodology is utterly lacking to highlight the various treatments. The structure is ok but additional paragraph or sentence will be good to state the focus of the current study. This is suggested at the end of introduction paragraph. Yes The literature needs to be beefed up as suggested in some sentences. Newer literature needs to be provided	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Proof reading for appropriate articles and sentence structure is required Methodology on extraction and application mode need to be included Other comments on the MS	
Optional/General comments	Some sentences and paragraphs are too long. They could be split for easy understanding and coherence	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	James Gacheru Wanjiku
Department, University & Country	Taita Taveta University, Kenya