

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100914
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular marker and test cross information aid selective advancement of F4 generation of CB174R/Azucena– an inter sub-specific cross in rice for restorer development
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The research is good and acceptable to a certain degree, also the methodology is appropriate with the objective of the paper but need grammatically reviewing and standardization of font style, size and theme</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>The authors not followed the Journal style. References must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets</p> <p>There are many references in main document not included in references. section please add the missing references. (Please correct all things mentioned in the manuscript)</p> <p>Please review and correct the writing of references according to the journal template</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The standard of English should improve to the level of a high standard article</p> <p>There are several text formatting mistakes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Methodology</p> <p>DNA concentration must be added here which I guess should be around 100 ng-500 ng. The same for the primer, the authors did not show how much primers he used in the PCR reaction and how much dNTPs added to the PCR reaction tubes. This is very important since this type of PCR is a multiplex PCR not a simple PCR</p> <p>results and discussion</p> <p>Results should be clearly described in a concise manner. The discussion should not repeat the results, but provide detailed interpretation of data. This should interpret the significance of the findings of the work</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Walaa Abdel-Kader Ramadan Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Biotechnology Institute, National Research Centre, Egypt