

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_97310</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of rainfall variations across different districts of Bundelkhand region.</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In key words there is mention of severe drought but there is no mention of it either in the abstract or in the results as such.</li> <li>The abstract is not so clear</li> <li>"Year wise annual rainfall, seasonal rainfall and percent rainfall departure from normal for districts of Bundelkhand region was given in Appendix-I to Appendix- XIII" was written in material and method but it was not found in the manuscript.</li> <li>In Table 1 only the the rainfall deficient years have been mentioned but the deficiency percentage has not been mentioned anywhere. So whether the mentioned years faced drought or not is not clear. If they have faced drought then the intensity of drought needs to be mentioned and how much agricultural production was affected by this.</li> <li>The references should be recent (preferably within 10 years )</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The manuscript can be accepted after revision	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Monika Ray</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Regional Research and Technology Transfer Station, Odisha University of Agriculture &amp; Technology, India</b>