

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJECC_101596  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Study of different sources of organic manures in comparison with RDF on growth and yield of greengram ( <i>Vigna radiata</i> (L.) Wilczek) |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>This manuscript is well written with a suitable title. The substance is important for the scientific community, therefore, it is worth to publish. I found almost nothing to revise. However, I would like to give suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is better to enrich the references with more current relevant publications.</li> <li>2. It is better to shortly explain in the Methodology the planting and maintenance of plant.</li> <li>3. It is better to shortly explain the observation procedures of plant growth and yield attributes.</li> </ol> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  | -   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Abdul Kadir Salam</b>                |
| Department, University & Country | <b>University of Lampung, Indonesia</b> |