

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100371
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of different tillage and nutrient management practices on growth performance of direct seeded rice
Type of the Article	'Original Research Article'

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes and the manuscript was briefly presented. Improve by making necessary corrections mentioned in the manuscript. Include graphs and pictures.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>Abstract was clearly written. Mention full forms to the abbreviations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reference for a statistical data in the introduction is missing. • Pictures taken during conventional tillage and zero tillage must be provided in M&M section. • Provide graphs in the R&D section to give a clear idea for the readers. <p>Refer manuscript. Reference format is wrong. Follow guidelines for the reference style. General Guideline for Authors (peerreviewcentral.com)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Take advantage of graphs and pictures to showcase the article as an original research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S Sai Mohan
Department, University & Country	Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, India