

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100369
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of different irrigation techniques on wheat crop micro-climate
Type of the Article	Original

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The present manuscript is original and will be helpful for farming and scientific community for understanding irrigation methods and their effect on wheat production.</p> <p>Yes, it's perfect.</p> <p>The abstract of the manuscript should be modified as per comments mentioned in the text.</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure is appropriate for readers.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct. However, if the study could have done in two years, it would have been good for understanding the effect of crop micro climate on its yield.</p> <p>Mentioned references are good. Authors should add some more recent study for better understanding the concept of the article.</p> <p>Authors should include some more study on effect of crop micro climate on grain yield and their interaction with irrigation methods in introduction and discussion part.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes. The language used in the article simple to understand and communicate.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The author should modify the manuscript as per reviewer comments in the text.</li> <li>• The author should strength the introduction part by quoting national &amp; international studies relevant to present topic.</li> <li>• The author should add some more references in introduction &amp; discussion part.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Barikara Umesh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences, India</b>