

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_100189
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of boiled leaf extract of Bryophyllum pinnatum on serum liver enzymes following administration of alcohol induced liver damage in albino wistar rats
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, This manuscript has scientifically sound</p> <p>No. Suggestion: Hepatoprotective activity of <i>Bryophyllum pinnatum</i> leaf on albino wistar rats – <i>In vivo</i> study</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, this is scientifically sound</p> <p>Latest references should be included in the discussion part (2015-2023)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. They are different methods (Cold maceration, soxlet) of extracting leaves, then why boiled procedure is followed? During boiling the leaves some active compounds get degraded.</p> <p>2. The authors not contributing histopathological studies and biochemical evaluation may or may not be improved.</p> <p>3. Despite this, the research paper is recommended for publication. But this may be improved for the future studies</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Renuka
Department, University & Country	SASTRA Deemed to be University, India