

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_100406
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between Duration of Diabetes Mellitus and Gensini Score in Patients Undergoing Diagnostic Coronary Angiography
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Really, very very important and suggestive! If, for instance, I could have read a study like this some y. ago, my mother now should be still alive (she had DM and ischemic histories).</p> <p>Sure, it is</p> <p>Sure, it is!</p> <p>All the excursus is perfect and suitable</p> <p>It is scientifically appropriate and correct</p> <p>There is an avalanche of references, mst of them very recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>There are no grammatical or orthographic errors in the full text</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The AA scrutinize some particular aspects of the death risks in obese and diabetic individuals that were not completely analyzed before, according to my literary experience</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lorenzo Martini
Department, University & Country	University of Siena, Italy