

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_99832
Title of the Manuscript:	The Lost Place: The Image of Place in Palestinian Children's Literature between 1967 and 2001
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The Manuscript is important to the Scientific community, indeed, the paper is an insightful and educative master piece</p> <p>The title of the paper is suitable because its gave reflective picture to the content of the article</p> <p>The abstract of the literature generally appears rather vague and unconcise. More detailed explanation is hence needed to reflect the abstract of the research</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript lacks proper structural research, writing skills and language appropriation considering the sort of descriptive narratives that were included in the research. Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>The references are not sufficient, and most of the references are not recent, the researcher should stick to a consistent methodology for in-text referencing</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language used in the article is not all that suitable for scholarly communication, an appropriate measure should be taken to correct several grammatical and typographical errors used in the content of the article.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Generally, at some point the reader may find missing dots on the write up due to inconsistencies and misconnected statements which may turn out to be a bore for the reader. However, more efforts should be geared towards restructuring the abstract to reflect on the entire research write up, grammatical and typo errors should be corrected in due course and the author(s) should stick to one particular referencing style. There is also need to add key words at the end of the abstract.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aliyu Balarabe Adiya
Department, University & Country	Shehu Shagari University of Education, Nigeria