

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_95658
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Government Expenditure and Value Added Tax on Economic Growth in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript talks about the macro-economic indicators effect on the economic development - Nigeria</p> <p>Yes, title seems to be okay</p> <p>Yes, it is comprehensive</p> <p>Subsections are okay</p> <p>Yes, the application of Financial econometrics to study the effect of macro factors on economic development is appropriate.</p> <p>References can be even more to get the effectiveness and more appropriateness to the existing manuscript. For instance, author can refer on various tests performed in the manuscript like Unit root test, co-integration test, VECM and so on.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality can be improved upon as editor score is 84%.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Formatting of the manuscript needs to work on. (Formatting and adding few references is recommended)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manjunath B R
Department, University & Country	Kirloskar Institute of Advanced Management Studies, India