

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_100226
Title of the Manuscript:	Myocarditis caused by COVID-19: A Case Report and literature review
Type of the Article	Case Report

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, As a case report it is important.</p> <p>Yes, It is suitable. But I think The term "literature review" should be deleted.</p> <p>Yes, it is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, they are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, I think it is true, but must retest on another Sample.</p> <p>Yes, they are sufficient.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes, I think language quality of the article is suitable.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>While thanking the researcher for conducting the case report, it seems that although the text of the manuscript is short, the contents are relatively repetitive in different sections and it is better to use more sources or different and new related explanations. Also, to conduct a literature review type of research, it is necessary to develop references and sections, and to select a more transparent and appropriate research method.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Farzad Mirshamsi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Islamic Azad University, Iran</b>