

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_101285
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge of Women towards Improved Rice Cultivation Practices in Bongmol Village of Kangpokpi District, Manipur
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The research paper examines knowledge level of women towards rice cultivation in Manipur , hence it is important from the point of regional perspective.</p> <p>OK.</p> <p>Fair.</p> <p>Author should analyse the Tble-1, 2 and 3 and outcome can be summarized in conclusion. There is no interpretation of these Tables in the main text, this is essential from the point of comprehensiveness of the research paper.</p> <p>Author should avoid using words like “amassed” instead he/she can use collected data. Similarly, should avoid using word “availed” while interpreting correlation and instead use the words like obtained or registered, etc.,.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	OK	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The paper is an outcome of bigger study resulting in the form thesis, author should be able highlight better insights, and more references on similar studies in the region may be cited for better quality.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>H. Chandrashekar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences, India</b>