

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_100289
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Information and Communication Technologies among Rural Women and Youths in Agriculture in Abia State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>There are a few language and grammar mistakes that should be corrected in the whole paper.</p> <p>-The abstract should contain a concise introduction, the aim of the work, a concise method of work, brief results, and recommendations. Also, it should have continued paragraphs as a united part without separation.</p> <p>- Any abbreviation should be written in detail for the first time mentioned. That should be applied on the whole paper.</p> <p>- References should be reorganized by that if authors follow the arrangement of appearance of these references in the text thus the cited references should be written as their appearance arrangement number inside the text, while if authors follow the writing of these references as names of the authors inside the text thus these cited references should be written in the references in alphabetical arrangement. Finally, the authors should follow one of these methods required by the journal.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samah Metwally Mahmoud Abd EL-Aziz
Department, University & Country	The National Research Centre, Egypt