

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_100289
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Information and Communication Technologies among Rural Women and Youths in Agriculture in Abia State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. YES 2. YES 3. YES BUT WRITE IMPLICATIONS INSTEAD OF RECOMMENDATIONS.</p> <p>4. REVIEW PART IS NOT SUFFICIENT. 5. SOMEHOW ACCEPTABLE 6. APA 7TH EDITION NEEDS TO BE FOLLOWED IN THE MANUSCRIPT. 7. OTHERS: A. SHOW THE ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS AND PRIORT EXPECTATIONS IN THE RELATIONSHIP B. JUSTIFY THE SAMPLING SIZE AND METHODOLOGY WHY ONLY 120 NOT 121 ? C. TAKE HALF DIAGONAL OF CORRELATION MATRIX AND INTERPRET ONLY THE SIGNIFICANT VALUES. D. WHY DON'T YOU RUN REGRESSIONS ? JUSTIFY IN LIMITATIONS. E. WRITE IMPLICIATIONS, POLICY LEVEL, MANAGERIAL LEVEL AND FUTURE IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. NEEDS TO REVIEW BY EXPERTS</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. NEW RESEARCH ISSUE 2. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO MEET THE OBJECTIVES OF THE MANUSCRIPT.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nischal Risal
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal