

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_101335
Title of the Manuscript:	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL PROFILING OF THE FLORAL METHANOLIC EXTRACT OF COSTUS LUCANISIANUS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This is a very basic study reported in the manuscript and is also not rationally justified.</p> <p>The title is somewhat broad. It should be "ANTIOXIDANT AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITIES OF THE FLORAL METHANOLIC EXTRACT OF COSTUS LUCANISIANUS".</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Subsections titles are appropriate but lack important information.</p> <p>Scientific proving needs to be justified with more elaborative results and discussion.</p> <p>Some of the references should be revised and more relevant references should be cited.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Scope of Improvement</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The rationale/ objective of the proposed work needs to be precise and justifiable. Names of all the chemicals, solvents, reagents, equipment, and apparatus need to mention with their grade (for chemical, solvent), make, model, country name, etc. Detailed procedures should be provided in the materials and methods section. Whenever using a short form, provide its full name throughout the manuscript. The quantification and identification of different constituents from the plant should be provided and rationally justified in results and discussion.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. H. Vadia
Department, University & Country	Marwadi University, India