

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_100373
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Child Development Services Contribution to India's Reduction in Child Malnutrition
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Important. It describes health care system and its success and weakness in India, with emphasis on child health.</p> <p>Suitable. Better to rephrase as: Contribution of Integrated Child Development Services to Reduction of Childhood Malnutrition in Anganwadi Centres (AWCs), India.</p> <p>Comprehensive.</p> <p>Acceptable</p> <p>Scientifically acceptable. Better if statistical significance difference, if available, could be described.</p> <p>Acceptable</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Suitable for communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>-Working definitions should be described for some of the important variable used such as: Nutritional status (stunted; wasted; underweight); Anemia (Severe; Moderate; Mild); Immunization coverage (Routine; catch-up; Special; How many antigens used for the purpose)</p> <p>-Better to describe and discuss in AN care coverage and childhood mortality by area (Urban, Rural)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ne Win
Department, University & Country	University of Public Health, Myanmar