

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_101622
Title of the Manuscript:	The Framework Analysis of Small and Medium Fishery Industries in West Papua Province
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, business can be promoted in Fishery by using some proper safegurds</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It can be modified by mentioning method used for data collection [Monte Carlo simulation]</p> <p>Little bit can be changed</p> <p>It is correct and can be very healthy for Government and people of that area.</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Okay	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Figure 1. Is very blurred</p> <p>Mathematical equation 1, 2 and 3 are not written proper mathematical format and third equation is not clear.</p> <p>Format of figure 2 should be restructured.</p> <p>Realignment of figure 3</p> <p>Figure 4 below mentioned shaded area are not taken in figure</p> <p>Conclusion: future scope how it can be healthy for people of that area and Government</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sheenu Nayyar
Department, University & Country	JPS Institute of Technical & Vocational Studies, India