

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_100749
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE POTENTIAL OF PROBIOTICS AND FECAL MICROBIOTA IN MAINTAINING A HEALTHY GUT MICROBIOTA
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	YES (the topic of the manuscript is interesting and important to be reviewed) YES YES (minor correction) YES (see a minor correction in the manuscript) YES, (please add how probiotics have the potential to influence the immune system) Need added references from relevant journals; at least 50% of journals published in the last 5 years	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes,	
Optional/General comments	This minireview is very interesting and important, I suggest that the references need to be added from relevant journals; at least >= 50% of journals published in the last 5 years	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eny Martindah
Department, University & Country	Indonesia