

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_100749
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE POTENTIAL OF PROBIOTICS AND FECAL MICROBIOTA IN MAINTAINING A HEALTHY GUT MICROBIOTA
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This is an exceptionally good review and balanced assessment of the status of Probiotics and Fecal Microbiota in Maintaining a Healthy Gut Microbiota.</p> <p>Yes the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Abstract of the article is comprehensive and cover the aim and objectives as well.</p> <p>Structure of the manuscript is as per the guidelines of the journal.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The author is advice to add more reference, moreover the style of referencing should uniform.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>There is few spelling and grammatical mistakes which could have been avoided by careful proof reading.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ambekar Abdul Wahid Abdul Aziz</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr. Vithalrao Vikhe Patil Foundation's College of Pharmacy, India</b>