

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_100995
Title of the Manuscript:	Spotlight on Sturge-Weber Syndrome: Unraveling the enigma of a rare neurocutaneous disorder in infants-An overview
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.The topic seems quite relevant and promising.</p> <p>Alternative title : Encephalotrigeminal angiomatosis :A comprehensive review.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Need to add more n latest references</p> <p>Use abbreviation in the entire writeup.eg.SWS and not sturge weber syndrome.. Lack of clinical photos showing manifestation and different types of the syndrome Lack of xray photo showing manifestation radiographically Overall extraction of data presentation needs to be properly framed.as in ,properly mentioning mesh word's,etc.. Treatment ofSWS needs to be explained n presented more properly and systematically.</p> <p>With the help of flow chart,segregating invasive and non invasive treatments.systemic drugs with latest trade names and dosages and complications, which can help reader's toapply clinically while treating a SWS patient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English editing is required.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Instead of a general review,systematic or metaanalysis would have been appreciated.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashwini Nerkar Rajbhoj
Department, University & Country	DY Patil Dental School, India